History of LOLR

Bailouts

Alternativ 0000 Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Global Financial Systems Chapter 14 Bailouts

Jon Danielsson London School of Economics © 2023

To accompany Global Financial Systems: Stability and Risk www.globalfinancialsystems.org/ Published by Pearson 2013

Version 10.0, August 2023

Global Financial Systems C 2023 Jon Danielsson, page 1 of 62

History of LOLR

Alternatives

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Book and slides

Global Financial Systems Stability and Risk Jon Danielsson DEADSO

 Updated versions of the slides can be downloaded from the book web page www.globalfinancialsystems.org



Model of asset bubbles

The \$50 billion bailout

- Imagine you are the finance minister of your country
- You just received the CEO of your biggest bank
- She told you that her bank was failing and if you did not give it \$50 billion by end of business today the bank would go bust
- And that would cause a systemic crisis
- Do you agree to the request demand?
- Or call the bluff?

History of LOLR Bailouts

Alternatives

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

AIG

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wz-PtEJEaqY

History of LOLR

Bailouts

Alternatives

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Successful and unsuccessful bailouts

Global Financial Systems © 2023 Jon Danielsson, page 5 of 62



Model of asset bubbles

Sweden 1992

- Good bank bad bank
- Crisis resolution cost around 4% of GDP
- Some dodgy assets valuable
- Good banks sold
- Key features
 - bailout never threatened the solvency of the Swedish state, the Standard & Poors rating on foreign debt dropped to AA+ from AAA, and recovered quickly back to AAA
 - 2. shareholders lost out, there was no bailout of bank owners



Ireland 2008

- Classic problem: real estate bubble and overextended banking system in general
- Like Sweden
- Ireland had the second largest banking system in Europe by assets over GDP = 929%
- Had sharply increasing total gross debt/GDP



- State guarantee for all bank obligations, not taking over shareholders equity
- Supposed to restore faith in its financial system
- Private debt to the sovereign, partly via ECB
- Role of EU
- Now feels it was treated excessively harshly as now bail-ins are used elsewhere
- The government apparently tried to use bail-ins at the time, but was rebuffed by the ECB



Spain and Italy in 2017

- Bank Popular Spain summer 2017, taken over by Santander at no cost to the Spanish taxpayer
- Italy spent €17 billion it can ill afford to rescue Veneto Banca and Banca Popolare di Vicenza



• CS and SVB

History of LOLR

0000000

Bailouts

Alternatives

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Lending of last resort — LOLR

Global Financial Systems C 2023 Jon Danielsson, page 11 of 62

A theme throughout history

Moral hazard

Alternatives

- The authorities announce the banks are on their own
- The banks proclaim they never will need government help
- The right wing pro-market says the market should decide winners and losers, the government has no role think of moral hazard
- The left wing says the government should help poor people not bail out rich bankers
- Still...

History of LOLR

• After all, we want the banks to take risk — a country without risk-taking does not grow

After a banking crisis happens

Moral hazard

Alternatives

- The costs of banking crises so significantly outweigh the private costs of bailouts
- · Becomes very difficult not to provide bailouts

History of LOLR

00000000

- Of course, the banks know this and are encouraged to engage in irresponsible behavior
- Consequently, we are better off having an orderly regime for dealing with liquidity provisions and bailouts

19th century finance

Moral hazard

Alternatives

- London was the main financial center of the world (well the only one)
- It was incredibly corrupt

History of LOLR

00000000

- The directors of the biggest failed bank (next slide) hired the government's lawyer to defend them
- Essentially no law applied to finance
 - 1. Except theft and fraud which got you executed
- Private money, public money and institution money mixed easily
- The Bank of England (BoE) was a private, profit-making institution competing with other banks



Moral hazard

Alternatives

- The biggest domestic bank in the UK
- Decided to go into what now would be called junk bonds
- Mostly connected to the high-tech industry of the time shipping
- Started out as a proprietorship

History of LOLR

- When it was about to default, it sold shares claiming it held no bad debt!
- That claim became the foundation for an unsuccessful private prosecution

History of LOLR

000000000

Alternative

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

- After it failed, it sought help from the BoE
- BoE refused to bail out O&G, resulting in widespread panic
- This led to the first modern financial stability regulations *lending of last resort* (LOLR)

What happened to the family

Moral hazard

Alternatives

- The bank had the name of Overend and Gurney, with a 3rd partner having the name of Barclay, with many other partners connected by family
- Even though it was a partnership with unlimited liability and the bank was bankrupt
 - no family member became destitute

History of LOLR

00000000

- and soon afterwards the family became the 2nd biggest shareholder in a new bank with the family name — Barclays bank
- with the last surviving family member dying a decade ago having been the biggest shareholder of Barclays bank

Moral hazard

Alternatives

The Bank studied how they should respond to future crisis, and the editor of the Economist and future Bank employee *Walter Bagehot*, published a white paper in 1873 on the topic, establishing the three principles of LOLR

- 1. the central bank should lend freely;
- 2. at a penal rate of interest;

History of LOLR

00000000

3. on good banking securities.

History of LOLR

Bailouts •000000000000000 Alternatives

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Bailouts

Global Financial Systems (C) 2023 Jon Danielsson, page 19 of 62

Moral hazard

• A firm that is insolvent is bankrupt, capital has been exhausted

Alternatives

- Financial institutions are closed before CAR <8%
- Pari passu

History of LOLR

- Difficult to distinguish between illiquidity and insolvency
- Before a crisis, a bank is considered solid
- Now it can't monetize assets thought otherwise safe
- Is this bank insolvent or only illiquid?

Bailouts

- It can be impossible to make the distinction in real time
- For this reason, the authorities often prefer to err on the side of caution

What are bailouts?

- An entity is provided with funds in order to prevent bankruptcy
- Here, special case of bailouts where the recipient of the funds is a financial institution and the *provider is the government*
- One might think that it should only be used when an institution's failure threatens the financial system, since
- Ordinarily, the government has special resolution mechanisms for dealing with failing firms that do not involve bailouts
- But (next page)

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Banks are different when they fail

- Society depends on banks continuing to operate
- Normal bankruptcy processes are slow
- The distinction between a bank that goes bankrupt with enormous costs to society and one that survives thanks to an almost costless liquidity guarantee, can be very small
- The government's objective is to ensure uninterrupted banking services
- And the protection of important, or politically important, entities, such as poor elderly depositors, pension funds and insurance companies
- The middle classes demand bailouts



Main categories of bailouts

- 1. Direct bailouts funds provided by the government directly to a failing bank, as a loan or grant or guarantee usually done by the treasury, but could be done by the central bank
- 2. Lending of last resort done by the central bank
- 3. Liquidity provision (Isowering of interest rates and/or increase in money supply) done by the central bank
- The distinction between the three may not be clear, and the same bailout could fall into more than one category

History of LOLR Bailouts Alternati

e**rnatives**

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Direct bailouts

- We discuss the preference ordering at the end of the section
- Which do you think the banks prefer and which do the taxpayers prefer¿'
 - 1. Equity injection
 - 2. Preference shares
 - 3. Loans
 - 4. Loan guarantees

The pricing of loan guarantees and purchases adverse selection — "a win-win-win" or "a win-win-lose proposal: the banks win, investors win, and taxpayers lose."

Moral hazard

Alternatives

History of LOLR

Bailouts

- When the government offers to buy/underwite assets from institutions in difficulty
- They have an incentive to first sell to the government the *worst* assets *adverse selection* (legal cases now)
- And creditors believing an institution will be saved or is too big to fail will not worry too much about asset quality

History of LOLR Bailouts occoco Alternatives Moral hazard Bailouts from 2007 Liquidity provision

- Central bank directly increases the money supply in response to some crisis event
- Frequently used tool by the Fed in recent years, for example in reaction to the LTCM, 9/11 attacks, etc.
- 'Greenspan put'
- Can also be quite damaging and costly
 - 1. inflationary
 - 2. moral hazard

Moral hazard

Alternatives

- During crisis a financial institution, or every institution, may find access to cash severely curtailed
- Normally safe securities e.g. short-dated government bonds cannot be monetized
- This causes a liquidity crisis, causing widespread failures and economic distress
- The idea behind LOLR is that a single organization typically the central bank accepts "safe" securities as collateral for cash
- After all, the central bank prints money

Bailouts

History of LOLR

What assets to accept as collateral

Moral hazard

Alternatives

History of LOLR

Bailouts

• Ideally only high quality assets, but in a crisis the market may be unwilling to accept assets that normally would be easy to pledge

"We lent by every possible means and in modes we have never adopted before; we took in stock on security, we purchased Exchequer bills, we made advances on Exchequer bills, we not only discounted outright, but we made advances on the deposit of bills of exchange to an immense amount, in short, by every possible means consistent with the safety of the Bank. Seeing the dreadful state in which the public were, we rendered every assistance in our power." Jeremiah Harman, 1825



- Often do LOLR without anybody knowing, while a direct bailout is highly visible (UK and US crisis example)
- Banks prefer to fund themselves via the interbank market rather than the central bank because of the reputational costs
- If a bank requires assistance from the central bank, this signals that its liquidity needs cannot be satisfied on the wholesale interbank markets *stigma effect* (next slide)

Bailouts ○○○○○○○○○●○○ ernatives

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

The stigma effect

• Reputation risk

History of LOLR

- RFC in Great Depression
- Keep a LOLR operation secret
- Forcing all banks to borrow from the central bank, regardless of whether they need it or not?



- Significant longer-term assistance to banks
- Blurs the distinction between a direct bailout and LOLR
- A bank that needs support for many years is no longer facing liquidity problems, rather it is more likely to be insolvent
- Zombies?
- If the authorities want to help the banks, it would be better to recognize the problems and directly use taxpayers money to recapitalize them
- Using the ECB in this manner is not appropriate

Alternativ 0000 Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Direct bailouts and preference ordering

- Banks and taxpayers have the opposite preference ordering
 - 1. Equity injection
 - 2. Preference shares
 - 3. Loans
 - 4. Loan guarantees

History of LOLR Bai

Bailouts Dooooooooooooooo Alternatives

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Alternatives

Global Financial Systems C 2023 Jon Danielsson, page 33 of 62



- In a crisis, force creditors of a large, systemically important bank, rather than taxpayers, to assume losses if the bank gets into difficulty AT1
- At a time when a bank is still operating as a going concern, preventing failure
- Overseen by the regulators *rather than bankruptcy courts*
- There is no automatic triggers for the bail-in, instead regulators decide implement the bail-in, presumably right before collapse *one minute to midnight*
- That prevents banks from *gaming the triggers*, and investors from speculating activation



- The idea of bail-ins is controversial, and some banks fear it may push up their funding costs
- Bail-ins do have several benefits
 - 1. prevent bankruptcies and thus both the bankruptcy courts and more importantly cross-border issues in resolution
 - 2. shield taxpayers from having to bail out banks
- May work for individual failures, but not if many fail
- A cornerstone of EU approaches from 2013, before taxpayer was on the hook



Forbearance

- Were the regulators refrain from using their full powers to intervene, for example to to close an insolvent bank
- Or where we ignore MTM and focus on book value
- "Evergreening", "extend and pretend" or "delay and pray"
- Earn its way out of difficulty
- Makes banks look arbitrary healthy
- Reduces the overall credit in the economy, holding back economic growth.
- Normally would be discouraged by banking supervisors
- Zombie banking
- Japan, China

History of LOLR

Bailouts

Alternatives

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007 0000000 Model of asset bubbles

Bailouts and Moral Hazard

Global Financial Systems © 2023 Jon Danielsson, page 37 of 62



- Demand for government bailouts of private institutions can be very difficult to resist
- Not agreeing to a bailout could result in a small shock becoming a big crisis
- Vexing for the taxpayer to line the pockets of the bankers profiting from the bailouts
- Just encourages the bankers to take more risk since they will be bailed out
- Bailouts create moral hazard



Moral hazard

- Banks receive unpriced government guarantees
- Externalities from the failure of a large bank outweigh moral hazard in short term
- Risk return trade-off between the benefits of preventing panic now and the costs of inducing riskier activity later
- Banks know this and are incentivized to take on too much risk when things are good in the full expectation of a bailout when things are bad
- The bigger, the more dangerous, the worse run and more interconnected a financial institution is, the more likely it is to be bailed out

Morality of bailing out bankers

Moral hazard

Alternatives

• Transfer from the relatively poor to the very rich

History of LOLR

- After AIG, employees in the CDS division demanded, and got, bonuses in the millions of dollars for solving the mess they created
- Some of them got such retention bonuses and left
- Following a public outcry, the chief executive acted surprised: "*stunned* people such that our wind-down has slowed down"
- The BBC interviewed a trader who said: *'he had been looking forward to a recession in order to profit from it. ''I dream of another moment like this," adding: "Anybody can actually make money. It's an opportunity."'*



- In bailing out, there are serious moral issues
- Politicians need to consider the moral dimension also
- One might expect executives and shareholders who enjoy convex payoffs, all the benefits but limited downside, should be penalized severely in case of failure
- But are they?

Political implications

Moral hazard

Alternatives

History of LOLR

- The perception that the government bailed out corrupt and incompetent bankers in 2008 — very wealthy people — created enormous political backlash
- It is one of the main drivers of the population we have seen since
- The US government bailing out the SVB depositors (to be discussed later) could have important consequences in the next presidential election

History of LOLR

Bailouts

Alternatives

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Bailouts from 2007

Global Financial Systems © 2023 Jon Danielsson, page 43 of 62

Bailouts

Alternativ 0000 Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles



• October 2008

History of LOLR

- Originally \$700 billion
- Eventually \$432 billion
- Cost to taxpayers may be \$19 billion,
- Small amount suggests that the crisis in the US was about liquidity not solvency
- Still moral hazard

Largest TARP bailout recipients in the private financial sector

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Alternatives

History of LOLR





- Secret, and much larger credit and liquidity facility program of the Fed
- Freedom of Information Act— Bloomberg

occess

History of LOLR

Bailouts

Alternatives

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Fed emergency lending



Global Financial Systems C 2023 Jon Danielsson, page 47 of 62

History of LOLR

Bailouts

Alternatives

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Outstanding Dec. 4, 2008



Global Financial Systems © 2023 Jon Danielsson, page 48 of 62

Profit of largest recipients from the Fed emergency lending

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Alternatives

History of LOLR



History of LOLR

Bailouts

Alternatives

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Model of Asset Bubbles

Pangloss model

Global Financial Systems © 2023 Jon Danielsson, page 50 of 62

History of LOLR

Bailouts

Alternative

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

Model of asset bubbles modified Krugman (1998)

- Financial intermediaries operate under an *implicit* government guarantee and are subject to *moral hazard*
- Financial intermediaries engage in excessive lending activities



- Assume a simple two-period economy
- Firms purchase capital in the first period, which they use in production processes in the second period
- The production function has the following form:

$$f(K) = (\alpha + \epsilon)K - \beta K^2$$

- K is capital, ϵ is a random variable
- Investors are risk-neutral

• Capital earns its marginal product, which is the first derivative of the production function:

$$\frac{df(K)}{dK} = \alpha + \epsilon - 2\beta K$$

Moral hazard

- The economy borrows at the world interest rate = 0
- Capital will be invested until the expected return of capital equals the cost of funds:

$$(\alpha + \mathsf{E}[\epsilon]) - 2\beta \mathsf{K} = \mathsf{0}$$

• Solving for K:

History of LOLR

$$\mathcal{K} = rac{(lpha + \mathsf{E}[\epsilon])}{2eta}$$

Model of asset bubbles

Moral hazard

- Now we add guaranteed financial intermediaries which are subject to moral hazard because of the government guarantee
- The intermediaries will compete away any economic profit. Therefore:
 - All available capital K will be purchased by guaranteed intermediaries in the end and all other agents will be driven out. This captures the extreme level of leverage of the guaranteed intermediaries
 - Investment is pushed up to the point where r = 0 for the highest possible value of ε. This captures the over-optimistic investment behavior of the intermediaries



- In normal economic conditions, investors focus on the *expected value* of an investment
- Guaranteed financial intermediaries will focus on the *Pangloss value* of an investment, which is the return that would be achieved under the most favorable outcome possible

History of LOLRBailoutsAlternativesMoral hazardBailouts from 2007Model of asset bubbles00

Example

- Suppose that $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0.25$ and ϵ is either 0 or 1 with both values occurring with equal probability so that the expected value of ϵ is $E[\epsilon] = 0.5$
- The undistorted level of investment is:

$$K = \frac{1+0.5}{2(0.25)} = 3$$

- But guaranteed intermediaries will base their investment decision not on the expected value of $\epsilon=0.5$ but on the Pangloss value and therefore assume that $\epsilon=1$
- The level of investment will be pushed up to:

$$K = \frac{1+1}{2(0.25)} = 4$$

Global Financial Systems C 2023 Jon Danielsson, page 56 of 62

History of LOLR

Alternatives

Moral hazard

Bailouts from 2007

Model of asset bubbles

- The result is *overinvestment* that lowers *expected welfare*
- Because the increased gains in the favorable state will not offset the increased losses in the unfavorable state

Moral hazard

Alternatives

History of LOLR

- So far we have assumed that capital is perfectly *elastic*, meaning that any increase in demand will be satisfied without a price increase
- If capital was perfectly *inelastic*, the increase in demand would lead to a higher price this would be the case for land
- Assuming a *three-period* economy with *land* as the only available asset
- In the first period investors bid for land, which generates returns in the following two periods
- The return in each period can be either *100* with probability $\frac{1}{3}$ or *25* with probability $\frac{2}{3}$

Model of asset hubbles

Success 000000	History of LOLR	Bailouts 000000000000000	Alternatives M 0000 t=1		Moral haza	ard	Bailouts from	2007	Model of asset bubble ○○○○○○○○●○○○
					t=2		:2		
			р	outcom	e p	ou	tcome		
		Good state	1/3	100	1/3		100		
		Rad state	$2/_{2}$	25	2/2		25		

- In an undistorted economy, investors would be willing to pay the expected return for both periods of 50, a total value of 100
- In the distorted economy, intermediaries will pay the Pangloss returns of 100 in both periods, a total value of 200
- Guaranteed intermediaries will raise the price to *double* the amount in the undistorted economy



Global Financial Systems © 2023 Jon Danielsson, page 60 of 62

Implications for banking crises

Moral hazard

• Probability of the guarantee being exercised is ⁸/9, $1-1/3\times1/3$

Alternatives

- If additional periods are added the likelihood rapidly approaches certainty because the guarantee is required on the first failure
- Unavoidable nature of banking crises

History of LOLR

- Until 2007 a large fraction of the US banking industry was prepared to use models that assumed that US house prices could not fall
- Recurrence of crises at roughly 79 (2007 1929) year intervals
- Implying a 1.3% chance on average that investors are unaware of some adverse possible outcome to their decisions

Model of asset bubbles



- The central message of the model is that the traditional crisis model could not explain the crisis because the problem was *off the government's balance sheet* and the liabilities were *not visible* beforehand
- The boom-bust cycle created by financial excess preceded the crisis because the *financial crisis* was the real driver of the process